(

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNET	H M, JONES Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 011-00294 TEH
GITY-0	v. F PITTSBURG ETAL. Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:		
Cour XV	t Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Barly Neutral Evaluation (BNE) (A Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)		
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify process and provider)		
The parties ag	arce to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadl referring the case to an ADR process	ine is 90 days from the date of the order s unless otherwise ordered,)
X II	other requested deadline Octob	er 30, 2014
Dated: Ma	<u> </u>	Attorney for Defendants
Dated: Mai	<u>13</u> 3011	Attorney for Plaintiff

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other October 30, 2011

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 05/17/2011

